

Algemeen Pensioenfonds KLM

2023 Q3 - Quarterly Proxy Voting Report

20 October 2023

CONTENT



- Introduction
- Proxy Voting Snapshot
- ESG Features
- Voting Highlights




























- Algemeen Pensioenfonds KLM has developed and implemented policies and procedures to ensure that its fiduciary obligation to vote proxies in the best interest of its participants is fulfilled.
- We actively exercise our rights as an owner of shares to promote responsible and sustainable practices in investee companies.
- We report on our Proxy Voting activities on a quarterly basis in keeping our commitments to our participants as well as the market reporting expectations.
- We have developed a Proxy Voting Policy, setting out our expectations for good corporate governance in the companies in which we invest. The policy has been developed based on global best practice guidelines such as the <u>ICGN Global Corporate Governance Principles</u> of Corporate Governance, the <u>G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance</u>, the <u>UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights</u> and the <u>UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)</u>.
- All data comes from Minerva Analytics, our Proxy Voting service provider.

40%


Vote Summary by Region





| | Event Type | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|-------|-------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Region | AGM | Class | Court | EGM | GM | OGM | SGM | SSM | Total |
| Africa | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Asia & Oceania : Developed | 18 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 50 |
| Asia & Oceania : Emerging | 67 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 107 |
| Europe : Developed | 8 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Europe : Emerging | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Japan | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| North America | 57 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 76 |
| South & Central America | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| UK & Ireland | 32 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Total | 199 | 4 | 2 | 70 | 6 | 9 | 21 | 0 | 311 |

Vote Summary by Resolution Category



■For ■Against ■Abstain ■Withhold

| | Votes | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------|---------|----------|-------|
| Resolution Category | For | Against | Abstain | Withheld | Total |
| Audit & Reporting | 172 | 217 | 4 | 3 | 396 |
| Board | 838 | 386 | 33 | 39 | 1,296 |
| Capital | 396 | 66 | 5 | 0 | 467 |
| Charitable Activity | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Corporate Actions | 115 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| Other | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Political Activity | 16 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| Remuneration | 144 | 174 | 63 | 0 | 381 |
| Shareholder Rights | 189 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 199 |
| Sustainability | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Total | 1,882 | 868 | 107 | 42 | 2,899 |

During the third quarter of 2023, we voted on 311 events and 2,899 resolutions.

Shareholder Proposal Vote Summary

Shareholder proposals are resolutions put forward by shareholders who want the board of a company to implement certain measures, for example around environmental, social and governance (ESG) or sustainability practices.


We value the right of shareholders to submit proposals to company general meetings. We will vote in favour of shareholder proposals that promote good corporate citizenship while enhancing long-term shareholder value, sustainability, and good governance.

We will vote against shareholder proposals that are misaligned with these principles and proposals that, in our assessment, are considered duplicative of existing company disclosure, practice and policy; are too prescriptive or seek to micromanage companies; and where the board has provided a commitment to address the issue raised by the proponent.

| | Votes | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------|---------|----------|-------|
| Resolution Category | For | Against | Abstain | Withheld | Total |
| Audit & Reporting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Board | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Capital | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Charitable Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Corporate Actions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Political Activity | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Remuneration | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Shareholder Rights | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Sustainability | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Total | 24 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26 |


During the third quarter of 2023, we voted on 26 shareholder-proposed resolutions.

Management Recommendation Followed



| Votes in line with Management Recommendation | 1,922 |
|--|-------|
| Votes NOT in line with Management Recommendation | 977 |

Summary Votes by Proposer



• We use the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to identify Environmental, Social and Governance risks and opportunities. We have identified the below five SDGs themes as priorities.



13 CLIMATE ACTION

SDG 7 - Affordable and Clean Energy


SDG 8 - Decent Work and Economic Growth

SDG 9 – Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure

SDG 12 - Responsible Consumption and Production

SDG 13 - Climate Action






As part of the Data collection and Research process, Minerva identifies those companies that have referenced the SDGs in their disclosures. In the third quarter of 2023, 79.78% of the companies that held events that we voted on have a material reference to the SDGs.



- We expect companies to have a strategy for reducing carbon emission, to be clear about targets set and to report on the progress achieved.
- Generally, we support proposals that enhance disclosure and provide shareholders with a better view of the company's practices. To this end, we support the adoption of globally recognised reporting frameworks such as <u>Task force on Climate</u> related Financial Disclosures ("TCFD").

TCFD Referenced



Minerva has identified that approximately 89.89% of the Companies we voted on between 1 Jul 2023 and 30 Sep 2023 have made specific reference to the TCFD framework and alignment with the disclosure pillars.





| Company | Sector | Incorporated | Event: AGM | Resolution | Proposed by |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--|--------------|
| | | United Chakes of | | 3 - To approve an advisory vote on the remuneration of the Company's named executive officer | Management |
| ConAgra Brands Inc | Food Producers | United States of America | 14 Sep 2023 | 6 - To request the Board to take the steps necessary to amend the Bylaws so that shareholders may call a special shareholder meeting | Shareholders |

ConAgra Brands Inc is a manufacturer and distributor of branded, value-added consumer food, and foodservice items and ingredients.

At the Company's 2023 AGM, shareholders voted on a non-binding shareholder proposal requesting a shareholder right to call a special meeting. The proposal was successful, receiving 78.5% shareholder support.

The proposal asked the Board to take the steps necessary to amend the appropriate Company governing documents to give the owners of a combined 10% of outstanding common shares the power to call a special shareholder meeting.

We believe the ability to call special meetings gives shareholders a way to bring important matters to the attention of both management and shareholders outside of the annual meeting cycle and is an important shareholder right. Currently, a majority of companies in the S&P500 allow shareholders to call special meetings and institutional investors generally favour a threshold of between 10% to 15%. Accordingly, we voted in favour of the shareholder proposal.

At the AGM, we voted against the advisory vote on the approval of executive compensation. The resolution received around 30% shareholder dissent – a result indicating a substantial portion of shareholders had concerns with the structure of remuneration.

We voted against the remuneration report at the Company as we had concerns with the Remuneration Committee's decision to reduce the weighting of performance-based awards in the long-term incentive plan. For fiscal 2023, the Committee determined to reallocate the mix of performance shares and restricted stock units (RSUs) to 60% performance shares and 40% RSUs compared to 75% performance shares and 25% RSUs in fiscal 2022. The RSU awards vest in annual instalments over a three-year period and are not subject to performance criteria.

We believe there should be a clear link between incentive pay and operational and financial performance. Ideally, all incentive pay should be subject to stretching performance targets aligned with the company's strategy and business model, and equity awards should not begin to vest after a time-horizon of at least three years in order to encourage long-term thinking and alignment with the interests of long-term shareholders.

| Introduction | Proxy Voting Snapshot | ESG Features | Voting Highlights |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------|
|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------|



| Company | Sector | Incorporated | Event: AGM | Resolution | Proposed by |
|------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|---|--------------|
| FedEx Corp | Industrial Transportation | United States of | 21 Sep 2023 | 6 - To request the Board to prepare a Just Transition | Shareholders |

FedEx Corp provides a broad portfolio of transportation, e-commerce and business services

At FedEx's AGM shareholders put forward a resolution asking that the Board to prepare a report disclosing how the Company is addressing the impact of its climate change strategy on relevant stakeholders, including but not limited to its employees, workers in its supply chain, and communities in which it operates, consistent with the "Just Transition" guidelines of the International Labor Organization and indicators of the World Benchmarking Alliance.

There is an increasing recognition that the social dimension of the transition to a resilient and low-carbon economy has been given insufficient attention, notably in terms of the implications in the workplace and wider community. At the 2021 UN Climate Change Conference, the United States and other governments agreed to the Just Transition Declaration, which aligns with the "Just Transition" guidelines in the International Labor Organization's Guidelines for a just transition towards environmentally sustainable economies and societies for all. The latter states an environmentally sustainable future requires "anticipating impacts on employment, adequate and sustainable social protection for job losses and displacement, skills development and social dialogue."

The Principles for Responsible Investment considers there are five strategic motivations for why investors should act on the just transition:

- 1. Broadening the understanding of systemic risks from climate change, by factoring in issues such as social exclusion and increasing inequality.
- 2. Reinvigorating fiduciary duty by better capturing the interrelated environmental and social drivers of long-term performance and by taking better account of beneficiary interests in sectors and regions affected by the transition.
- 3. Recognising material value drivers in terms of corporate practices in the workplace and the broader social licence to operate: business performance will be increasingly conditioned by the just transition.
- 4. Uncovering investment opportunities that combine climate and social goals such as inclusive growth, identified through the lens of the just transition.
- 5. Contributing to societal goals including existing responsibilities to respect international human rights and labour standards as well as new ways of realising the Sustainable Development Goals.

We voted in favour of the resolution as we considered enhanced disclosure would provide shareholders with a better understanding of how the Company is approaching this issue. The proposal received 29.7% shareholder support, a notable level of support. Shareholder proposals on the just transition is still an emerging area and we expect to see more proposals filed on this topic next year.

Glossary

Meeting Types

- AGM Annual General Meeting: meeting normally required by law taking place on an annual basis.
- Class Class Meeting: shareholders holding a class of share are required to make a decision binding on the company.
- Court Court meeting: where shareholders can order an annual meeting or a special meeting from a court or where a meeting is called by a Court of Law to approve a Scheme of Arrangement
- EGM Extraordinary General Meeting: meeting is required to conduct business of an urgent or extra-ordinary nature. Such business may require a special guorum or approval level.
- GM General Meeting: term often used interchangeably with the term EGM,OGM, SGM, depending on the term used by the company in question.
- OGM Ordinary General Meeting: term often used interchangeably with the term EGM,GM, SGM, depending on the term used by the company in question.
- SGM Special General Meeting: term often used interchangeably with the term EGM,GM, OGM, depending on the term used
- SSM Scheme Meeting: term often used interchangeably with the term Court, depending on the market in question.

Vote Types

- Abstain Shareholder's vote not in favour or against the proposed resolution, but shareholder demonstrates lack of confidence towards the rationale behind the resolution.
- Against Shareholder's vote against the resolution proposed.
- For Shareholder's vote in favour of the resolution proposed.
- Withhold For North America auditor and director election resolutions, shareholder vote not in favour of the resolution proposed.

DISCLAIMER

About Minerva

Minerva helps investors and other stakeholders to overcome data disclosure complexity with robust, objective research and voting policy tools. Users can quickly and easily identify departures from good practice based on their own individual preferences, local market requirements or apply a universal good practice standard across all markets.

For more information please email hello@minerva.info or call + 44 (0)1376 503500

Copyright

This analysis has been compiled from sources which are believed to be reliable. No warranty or representation of any kind, whether express or implied, is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the report or its sources and neither Minerva Analytics nor its officers, directors, employees, or agents accept any liability of any kind in relation to the same. All opinions, estimates, and interpretations included in this report constitute our judgement as of the publication date, information contained with this report is subject to change without notice.

This report may not be copied or disclosed in whole or in part by any person without the express written authority of Minerva Analytics. Any unauthorised infringement of this copyright will be resisted. This report does not constitute investment advice or a solicitation to buy or sell securities, and investors should not rely on it for investment information.

Conflicts of Interest

Minerva Analytics does not provide consulting services to issuers, however issuers and advisors to issuers (remuneration consultants, lawyers, brokers etc.) may subscribe to Minerva Analytics research and data services.



Contact details

- Thomas Bolger
- Senior Stewardship Analyst
- Thomas.bolger@minerva.info
- Tel: +44 (0) 1376 504505

- Maria Barata
- Account Executive
- Maria.barata@minerva.info
- Tel: +44 (0) 1376 504502

